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## Goal

A semantics that distinguishes:
(1) $p \vee q$
(2) $p \vee q \vee(p \wedge q)$

## Approach

(Following Roelofsen 2011)

1. Choose a particular perspective on meaning.
2. Derive a formal semantics from this perspective.
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## Disjunctive proposals

'Let's do one of the updates in $A$, or one of the updates in $B^{\prime}$ $\equiv$ 'Let's do one of the updates in $A \cup B$.'

Definition
$A \oplus B:=A \cup B$
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## Conjunctive proposals

'Let's do one of the updates in $A$, and one of the updates in $B$ ' $\equiv$ 'Let's do a (composition of) two updates, one in $A$ and one in $B$.'

Definition
$A \otimes B:=\{f \circ g: f \in A, g \in B\}$
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## Semantics

Syntax
$\varphi:=p|\perp|(\varphi \vee \varphi)|(\varphi \wedge \varphi)|(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$, with $\neg \varphi:=\varphi \rightarrow \perp$.
Semantics

1. $[p]=\{\lambda x \cdot x \cap\{w \in \mathbf{W}: w(p)=1\}\}$
2. $[\perp]=\{\lambda x, x \cap \varnothing\}$
3. $[\varphi \vee \psi]=[\varphi] \oplus[\psi]$
4. $[\varphi \wedge \psi]=[\rho] \otimes \psi]$
5. $[\varphi \rightarrow \psi]=$ CENSORED
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## Related formalisms

Definition (static representation)
$\widehat{A}:=\{f(\mathbf{W}): f \in A\}$.

For any $\varphi$ :

- $\cup \widehat{[\varphi]}=[\varphi]_{\text {Classical }}$
- $\widehat{\varphi}] \downarrow=[\varphi]_{\text {Inquisitive }}$
(Groenendijk, Roelofsen, et al.)
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